

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The private Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices. Signatories can also choose to make these available to clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This private Transparency Report is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes all responses (public and private) to core and plus indicators.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised your responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options that you selected are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

| Module | Page |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS) | 4 |
| ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO) | 6 |
| POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS) | 19 |
| LISTED EQUITY (LE) | 53 |
| FIXED INCOME (FI) | 62 |
| SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO) | 71 |
| CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM) | 87 |



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| SLS 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Senior Leadership Statement | GENERAL |

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

For more than 10 years we have built our investment strategy upon one extra-financial criteria: Customer Satisfaction. By looking beyond financial figures we aimed at finding quality companies that will be good underlying investment over the long run. We have called our approach the "ROC" (Return on Customer) Process. From that on we have developed an expertise that goes far beyond financial performances: we aim at having a positive impact for our customers. All our practices now integrate ESG: Wealth Management as well as Asset Management, Fixed Income as well as Equity. Doing our extra-financial research internally led us to build long lasting relationships with companies, a key element we aim to leverage for achieving strong commitments through engagement. First, in 2021, to have 90% of the companies in our SRI funds committed to Net Zero by the end of 2022. Then, all our investee companies should have published a clear and credible Net Zero transition plan by 2025, including ambitious targets approved by SBTi (Science Based Target initiative) and a coherent CAPEX plan, on which we could vote.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards



2022 has been a great year of transformation. First we have structured our internal compliance and controlling process to enhace compliance, accountability and transparence for our customer and collegues, especially throught pretrade automatic controls on our social investment criteria. Second we have developed our stewardship activities, particularly on the environmental issues. More than our existing collaborative engagement (CA100+, CDP, IIGCC...), and our active particiation in the Comite IR of AFG and Impact Working Group of l'Institut de la Finance Durable, we launched our own engagement campaign: Sprint to Net Zero, gathering around 20 investors to encourage companies to take a net zero commitment and get SBTi approval. We also updated our voting policy to integrate criteria related to our Net Zero commitment. And finally, we have launched one additional innovative SRI fund (labelized by the French "Label ISR") based on a systematic build upon a social criteria (customer satisfaction. This fund is managed without any Portfolio Manager's intervention, only throught an alogorithm choosing the best companies in terms of customer satisfaction.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Our next frontier is on Net Zero and accelerating our ecosystem contribution to solving this environmental issues. And that means progress in terms of engagement and dialogue with companies, but also with fellow customers and competitors, to convince them of the importance of such topics and of their responsaility in solving this issue. Another topic we will advocate will be the vote on transition plan in AGM: giving shareholder a "say" on climate might help management to be assured that shareholder are pushing for bold against the current urgency.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Claire Berthier

Position

CEO

Organisation's Name

Trusteam Finance

\bigcirc A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.





ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| 00 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Reporting year | GENERAL |

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

| | Date | Month | Year |
|--|------|-------|------|
| Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes: | 31 | 12 | 2022 |

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| 00 2 | CORE | N/A | 00 2.1 | PUBLIC | Subsidiary information | GENERAL |

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No



ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 00 4 | CORE | 00 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | All asset classes | GENERAL |

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

| USD |
|-----|
|-----|

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to US\$ 684,000,000.00 execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only (B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this US\$ 0.00 submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2] (C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research US\$ 0.00 advisory only

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

Exanche rate as of 12/31/2022



ASSET BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 00 5 | CORE | OO 3 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown | GENERAL |

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

| | (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM | (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| (A) Listed equity | 32% | 0% |
| (B) Fixed income | 68% | 0% |
| (C) Private equity | 0% | 0% |
| (D) Real estate | 0% | 0% |
| (E) Infrastructure | 0% | 0% |
| (F) Hedge funds | 0% | 0% |
| (G) Forestry | 0% | 0% |
| (H) Farmland | 0% | 0% |
| (I) Other | 0% | 0% |
| (J) Off-balance sheet | 0% | 0% |



ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|---|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| 00 5.3 LE | CORE | OO 5 | Multiple | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity | GENERAL |
| Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM. | | | | | | |

| (A) Passive equity | 0% |
|---------------------------|-------|
| (B) Active – quantitative | 0.5% |
| (C) Active – fundamental | 99.5% |
| (D) Other strategies | 0% |

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

| Type of indicat | or Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| CORE | OO 5 | Multiple | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income | GENERAL |
| r breakdown of yo | our internally manage | ed fixed income | AUM. | | |
| SSA 0% | 1 | | | | |
| corporate 0% | 1 | | | | |
| SA 0% | 1 | | | | |
| rporate 100 | 0% | | | | |
| 0% | | | | | |
| | CORE r breakdown of your service of the corporate of the | CORE OO 5 The breakdown of your internally manage of the second of the | CORE OO 5 Multiple r breakdown of your internally managed fixed income SSA 0% corporate 0% SA 0% rporate 100% | CORE OO 5 Multiple PUBLIC r breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM. SSA 0% corporate 0% SA 0% rporate 100% | CORE OO 5 Multiple PUBLIC Internally managed fixed income AUM. SSA 0% corporate 0% rporate 100% |



GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 007 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Geographical breakdown | GENERAL |

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

| (A) Listed equity | (1) 0% |
|------------------------------|--------|
| (C) Fixed income – corporate | (1) 0% |

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| 00 8 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Stewardship | GENERAL |

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

| | (1) Listed equity - active | (3) Fixed income - active |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| (A) Yes, through internal staff | ☑ | ☑ |
| (B) Yes, through service providers | | |
| (C) Yes, through external managers | | |



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| 00 9 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | GENERAL |

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

(1) Listed equity - active

| (A) Yes, through internal staff | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| (i) 100, unough internal otali | | |
| (B) Yes, through service providers | | |
| (C) Yes, through external managers | | |
| (D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting | 0 | |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 9.1 | CORE | OO 9 | PGS 10.1, PGS 31 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | GENERAL |

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote



ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| 00 11 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Internally managed assets | 1 |

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

| | (1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions | (2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions |
|---|---|---|
| (B) Listed equity - active - quantitative | • | 0 |
| (C) Listed equity - active - fundamental | • | 0 |
| (F) Fixed income - corporate | • | 0 |

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 00 17 LE | CORE | 00 11 | OO 17.1 LE, LE 12 | PUBLIC | Listed equity | 1 |

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

| (A) Screening alone | 0% |
|---------------------|----|
| (B) Thematic alone | 0% |



| (C) Integration alone | 0% |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| (D) Screening and integration | 0% |
| (E) Thematic and integration | 0% |
| (F) Screening and thematic | 0% |
| (G) All three approaches combined | 100% |
| (H) None | 0% |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 00 17.1 LE | CORE | 00 17 LE | LE 9 | PUBLIC | Listed equity | 1 |

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

| | approxim to approxi |
|---|---------------------|
| (A) Positive/best-in-class screening only | 0% |
| (B) Negative screening only | 0% |
| (C) A combination of screening approaches | 100% |



FIXED INCOME

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| 00 17 FI | CORE | OO 5.3 FI, OO 11 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Fixed income | 1 |

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

| (2) Fixed | income - | corporate |
|-----------|----------|-----------|
|-----------|----------|-----------|

| (A) Screening alone | 0% |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| (B) Thematic alone | 0% |
| (C) Integration alone | 0% |
| (D) Screening and integration | 0% |
| (E) Thematic and integration | 0% |
| (F) Screening and thematic | 0% |
| (G) All three approaches combined | 100% |
| (H) None | 0% |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| 00 17.1 FI | CORE | 00 17 FI | N/A | PUBLIC | Fixed income | 1 |

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?



(2) Fixed income - corporate

| (A) Positive/best-in-class screening only | 0% |
|---|------|
| (B) Negative screening only | 0% |
| (C) A combination of screening approaches | 100% |

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18 | CORE | OO 11–14 | OO 18.1 | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

● (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

90%

- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18.1 | CORE | OO 18 | OO 18.2 | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

(A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications Provide the percentage of AUM that your labelled and/or certified products and/or funds represent:

90%

o (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18.2 | CORE | OO 18.1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Which ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

| ☐ (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI) |
|---|
| □ (B) GRESB |
| ☐ (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49) |
| □ (D) B Corporation |
| □ (E) BREEAM |
| ☐ (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard |
| ☐ (G) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Strategie |
| ☐ (H) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Impact |
| \square (I) EU Ecolabel |
| \square (J) EU Green Bond Standard |
| \square (K) Febelfin label (Belgium) |
| ☐ (L) Finansol |
| ☐ (M) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland) |
| \square (N) Greenfin label (France) |
| ☐ (O) Grüner Pfandbrief |
| ☐ (P) ICMA Green Bond Principles |
| ☐ (Q) ICMA Social Bonds Principles |
| ☐ (R) ICMA Sustainability Bonds Principles |
| \square (S) ICMA Sustainability-linked Bonds Principles |
| ☐ (T) Kein Verstoß gegen Atomwaffensperrvertrag |
| ☑ (U) Le label ISR (French government SRI label) |
| ☐ (V) Luxflag Climate Finance |
| \square (W) Luxflag Environment |
| ☐ (X) Luxflag ESG |
| ☐ (Y) Luxflag Green Bond |
| \square (Z) Luxflag Microfinance |
| ☐ (AA) Luxflag Sustainable Insurance Products |
| \square (AB) National stewardship code |
| \square (AC) Nordic Swan Ecolabel |
| $\hfill \Box$ (AD) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic) |
| \square (AE) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines |
| ☐ (AF) RIAA (Australia) |
| \square (AG) Towards Sustainability label (Belgium) |
| (AH) Other |



THEMATIC BONDS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| OO 20 | CORE | 00 17 FI | FI 15, FI 17 | PUBLIC | Thematic bonds | 1 |

What percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds are labelled by the issuers in accordance with industry-recognised standards?

| | Percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds labelled by the issuers |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (A) Green or climate bonds | 0% |
| (B) Social bonds | 0% |
| (C) Sustainability bonds | 0% |
| (D) Sustainability-linked bonds | 0% |
| (E) SDG or SDG-linked bonds | 0% |
| (F) Other | 0% |
| (G) Bonds not labelled by the issuer | 100% |

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS



SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| 00 21 | CORE | Multiple indicators | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Summary of reporting requirements | GENERAL |

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

| Applicable modules | (1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses) | (2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module | (2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module |
|---|--|---|---|
| Policy, Governance and Strategy | • | 0 | 0 |
| Confidence Building Measures | • | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Listed equity – active – quantitative | • | 0 | 0 |
| (C) Listed equity – active – fundamental | • | 0 | 0 |
| (F) Fixed income – corporate | • | 0 | 0 |

SUBMISSION INFORMATION



REPORT DISCLOSURE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| OO 32 | CORE | OO 3, OO 31 | N/A | PUBLIC | Report disclosure | GENERAL |

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- o (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 1 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1, 2 |

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- $\ \square$ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☑ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☑ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- \square (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 2 | CORE | PGS 1 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1 |

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- \square (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 3 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 6 |

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/RCCI/Code de transparence 2023.pdf

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/RCCI/Code de transparence 2023.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/RCCI/Code_de_transparence_2023.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/RCCI/Code_de_transparence_2023.pdf

☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/RCCI/Code_de_transparence_2023.pdf

☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/RCCI/Code de transparence 2023.pdf

☑ (G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)



Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/RCCI/Code de transparence 2023.pdf

☑ (I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/RCCI/Code de transparence 2023.pdf

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_sur_la_prise_en_compte_des_risques_de_du rabilite.pdf

☑ (K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/RCCI/Code_de_transparence_2023.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

IIK.

☑ (M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_vote_et_engagement_FR.pdf

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/Documents Mentions legales/Politique vote et engagement FR.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/Documents Mentions legales/Politique vote et engagement FR.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting

Add link:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_vote_et_engagement_FR.pdf

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 4 | PLUS | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1-6 |

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

Elaborate:

"The Customer is Responsible" is in the heart of our investment thesis

o (B) No



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 5 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- ☑ (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- ☑ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☑ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- ☑ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 6 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☑ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 7 | CORE | 00 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 8 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(6) >90% to <100%

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 9 | CORE | PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

| | AUM coverage |
|---|------------------------|
| (A) Specific guidelines on climate change | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (B) Specific guidelines on human rights | (1) for all of our AUM |



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 10 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 2 |

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%

 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 10.1 | CORE | OO 9.1, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 2 |

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%



- o (8) >70% to 80%
- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11)** 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- \square (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

CEO and Chief Investment Officer

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent Specify:

Each fund manager is accountable for responsible investment in his/her fund

- \square (D) Head of department, or equivalent
- o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.1 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1, 2 |

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

| (A) Overall approach to responsible investment | |
|--|---|
| (B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors | |
| (C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes | |
| (D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors) | |
| (E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) | |
| (G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold | |
| (H) Guidelines on exclusions | |
| (I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment | ☑ |
| (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees | |
| (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement | |
| (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders | |
| (M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting | |



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.2 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1-6 |

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- o (A) Yes
- (B) No

Explain why:

To be included in our conflict of interest policy in 2023. As a small asset management company the level of risk is low but good point to disclose.

o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 12 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

CEO, Chief Investment officer, compliance officer as well as each fund manager

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 14 | CORE | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- **(1)** KPIs are linked to compensation
- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- o (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation Describe: (Voluntary)
- o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 15 | PLUS | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

| | (2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent |
|--|--|
| (A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation | |
| (B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights | |
| (C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues | |



0

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 16 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☑ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☐ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☑ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☑ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☑ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☑ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- \square (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 17 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☑ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- \square (C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above Add link(s):



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 18 | PLUS | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

☑ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) Link to example of public disclosures

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_fonds/SFDR/Article_10_-_TRE.pdf

| ☐ (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy |
|--|
| ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard |
| \Box (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations |
| \square (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations |
| \Box (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations |
| \Box (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 19 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement Add link(s):

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_vote_et_engagement_FR.pdf
http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_vote_et_engagement_FR.pdf
http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/2023.03.27 CR_DDV_et_Engagement_2022.pdf



- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 20 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☑ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 21 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation



☑ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- $\circ\hspace{0.1cm}$ (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 22 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

| | (1) Listed equity | (2) Fixed income |
|---|-------------------|------------------|
| (A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues. | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues. | • | • |



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 23 | PLUS | OO 5, OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

The prioritization process is the same for all asset classes. We first look at the size of the holdings in the portfolio, then the materiality of ESG factors and the rating of the company.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 24 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- o (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 24.1 | PLUS | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

There are some ESG factor where we have a recognized expertise (eg Customer Satsifaction). In other for which we do not have this internal expertise and are related to systemic issues like Planet Bounderies we think it is much more efficient to collaborate



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 25 | PLUS | OO 5, OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

☑ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff Select from the list:

1

o 5

☑ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable Select from the list:

3

o 5

☐ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers

(D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities Select from the list:

4

。 5

☑ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar

Select from the list:

2

0 5

o (F) We do not use any of these channels

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 27 | PLUS | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

Our stewardship activities are directly linked to our investment decisions. Our stewardship initiatives involve directly the fund managers and depending on the result of the enagagement they can decide to reduce or sell the position.



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 29 | CORE | OO 9, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

- \Box (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes
- \Box (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear
- \Box (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- o (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 30 | CORE | 00 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 31 | CORE | OO 9.1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |



For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- o (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 32 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- \square (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☑ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website Add link(s) to public disclosure:

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.04.26- Vote Flagging Tractor Supply.pdf

- ☑ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 33 | CORE | OO 9 | PGS 33.1 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

(A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes Add link(s):

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/Documents Mentions legales/2023.03.27 CR DDV et Engagement 2022.pdf

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- o (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 33.1 | CORE | PGS 33 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- o (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- o (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- o (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- o (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 34 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

| | (1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations | (2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution |
|--|---|---|
| (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale | (1) for all votes | |
| (B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company | | |
| (C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information | 0 | • |



(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year

0 0

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):

 $http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/2023.03.27_CR_DDV_et_Engagement_2022.pdf$

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 35 | PLUS | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?

We vote internally throught a tool and someone is responsible for checking if the vote has been correctly processed

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | on Gateway to Disclosure Subsection | | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 36 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Escalation | 2 |

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity

| (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one | | |
|--|----------|--|
| (B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal | V | |



| (C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter | |
|---|---|
| (D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors | |
| (E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director | ☑ |
| (F) Divesting | |
| (G) Litigation | |
| (H) Other | |
| (I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings | 0 |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 37 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Escalation | 2 |

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

| _ | | | | and the second | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|----|----------------|----|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----|----------|---|-----|-----|
| ✓ | (A) | Joining | or | broadening | an | existing | colla | borative | engag | ement | or | creating | а | new | one |

- ☑ (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- \square (C) Not investing
- \square (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☐ (E) Divesting
- \Box (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other
- \circ (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets



STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39.1 | CORE | PGS 39 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☑ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☑ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- ☑ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups Describe:

We were involved in working groups related to accountability and climate change led by the ANC (Autorité des Normes Comptables

- French Accounting Rules Association), and represented investors at the MEDEF (Mouvement des Entreprises de France) on that specific topic
- \square (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- ☐ (E) Other methods



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39.2 | CORE | PGS 39 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

☑ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions Add link(s):

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user upload/Documents Mentions legales/Politique vote et engagement FR.pdf

- \square (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- o (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 40 | PLUS | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Examples | 2 |

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

| (A) Example 1: Title of stewardship activity: |
|--|
| Sprint to Net Zero |
| (1) Led by |
| □ (9) Other |



| (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution |
|---|
| Objective : encourage companies to publish SBTi validated mi term targets to Net Zero. 66 letters sent to companies, 16 meetings with management teams. |
| (B) Example 2: Title of stewardship activity: |
| CDP Disclosure Campaign |
| (1) Led by (1) Internally led (2) External service provider led (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity (1) Environmental factors (2) Social factors (3) Governance factors (3) Asset class(es) (1) Listed equity (2) Fixed income (3) Private equity (4) Real estate (5) Infrastructure (6) Hedge funds (7) Forestry (8) Farmland (9) Other (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution |
| Objective : Disclosure to CDP |
| (C) Example 3: Title of stewardship activity: |
| Climate Action 100+ |
| (1) Led by ○ (1) Internally led ② (2) External service provider led ○ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity ☑ (1) Environmental factors ☑ (2) Social factors ☑ (3) Governance factors ③ (3) Asset class(es) ☑ (1) Listed equity ☑ (2) Fixed income ☐ (3) Private equity ☐ (4) Real estate ☐ (5) Infrastructure ☐ (6) Hedge funds ☐ (7) Forestry ☐ (8) Farmland |
| □ (8) Parmiand □ (9) Other |



| (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution |
|---|
| Objective: encourage companies to act for the transition, throught the Banchmark indicators, As lead investors on Air Liquide and Saint Gobain and as collaborative investors on 5 other companies |
| (D) Example 4: Title of stewardship activity: |
| Customer satisfaction best practices |
| (1) Led by ● (1) Internally led ○ (2) External service provider led ○ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity □ (1) Environmental factors □ (2) Social factors □ (3) Governance factors (3) Asset class(es) □ (1) Listed equity □ (2) Fixed income □ (3) Private equity □ (4) Real estate □ (5) Infrastructure □ (6) Hedge funds □ (7) Forestry □ (8) Farmland □ (9) Other (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution |
| Objective: leverage our expert position on customer satisfaction topic to share best practices to all the companies we analysed (those in our portfolios and those that are not). |
| (E) Example 5: Title of stewardship activity: (1) Led by (1) Internally led (2) External service provider led (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity (1) Environmental factors (2) Social factors (3) Governance factors (3) Asset class(es) (1) Listed equity (2) Fixed income (3) Private equity (4) Real estate (5) Infrastructure (6) Hedge funds (7) Forestry (8) Farmland (9) Other |



(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

CLIMATE CHANGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41 | CORE | N/A | PGS 41.1 | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded: Exclusion of Fossile Fuel, Palm oil producers and companies linked to deforrestation

Monitoring of envirionemental customer need is part of our ESG scoring

Stranded asset in carbon intensive sectors (fossile fuels, utilities, building materials, chemicals...)

Chemical and utilities (draught), insurances (recurring extra-ordinary desasters)

Reputation: as customers are becoming more and more willing to act on climate, companies not taking this into account Regulation risk: carbon intensive facilities will have to shut down in order to met a Net Zero scenario Production: 2020 COVID crisis was a rehearsal of what could happend to supply chain when climate related desaster happen

☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Additional CO2 cost, transition Capex, decline in non compliant business lines... would hurt resilence of Cash Flow Generation and then equities as well as fixed income valuations

o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41.1 | CORE | PGS 41 | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

● (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:



According to some academic studies, Customer Satisfaction is the missing link between financial and extra-financial performance. For that reason we have constructed all our investment selection upon this factor, and we are the only one in Europe to have such expertise. We have developed our own database, we do our own marketing research in order to know what Customer really think, independently of what company are publishing.

Investment strategy: Integrated our "Proces ROC" + Exclusion strategy Product: no specific product sold as specifically climate related but included in investment cases and then in portfolio constructions

o (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 42 | PLUS | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

| Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting | j sectors? |
|--|------------|
| ☑ (A) Coal Describe your strategy: | |
| Exclusion | |
| ☑ (B) Gas Describe your strategy: | |
| Exclusion | |
| ☑ (C) Oil Describe your strategy: | |
| Exclusion | |
| ☐ (D) Utilities ☐ (E) Cement Describe your strategy: | |
| Engagement | |
| ☑ (F) Steel Describe your strategy: | |
| Engagement | |
| ☑ (G) Aviation Describe your strategy: | |
| Engagement | |
| ☑ (H) Heavy duty road Describe your strategy: | |
| Engagement | |
| ☐ (I) Light duty road ☐ (J) Shipping ☐ (K) Aluminium | |



☑ (L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery

Describe your strategy:

Exclusion of companies involved in deforrestation

☑ (M) Chemicals

Describe your strategy:

Engagement

☐ (N) Construction and buildings

☑ (O) Textile and leather

Describe your strategy:

Engagement

☐ (P) Water

☐ (Q) Other

o (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Provide a link(s) to your strategy(ies), if available

 $http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Documents_Mentions_legales/Politique_sur_la_prise_en_compte_des_risques_de_durabilite.\\ pdf$

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 43 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- ☑ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

We use the PACTA Tool for assessing our portfolios

o (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 44 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks



(1) Describe your process

First, sector approach: Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded: Exclusion of Fossile Fuel, Palm oil producers and companies linked to deforrestation

Then, through the monitoring of environemental customer need which is part of our ESG scoring

And also with the analysis of dditional CO2 cost, transition Capex, decline in non compliant business lines... would hurt resilence of Cash Flow Generation and then equities as well as fixed income valuations

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Included in our pre tarde controls to manage risk

- \square (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks
- o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 45 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

- \square (A) Exposure to physical risk
- \square (B) Exposure to transition risk
- \square (C) Internal carbon price
- ☑ (D) Total carbon emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27_Reporting_29_LEC_TF_2022.pdf

- ☑ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27 Reporting 29 LEC TF 2022.pdf

- \square (F) Avoided emissions
- \square (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☑ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology



(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27_Reporting_29_LEC_TF_2022.pdf

- \square (J) Other metrics or variables
- o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 46 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27_Reporting_29_LEC_TF_2022.pdf

☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27_Reporting_29_LEC_TF_2022.pdf

☑ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

http://www.trusteam.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Actualites/ISR/2023.06.27 Reporting 29 LEC TF 2022.pdf

o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year



SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.1 | CORE | PGS 47 | N/A | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

| ☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets |
|--|
| ☑ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement |
| ☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) |
| □ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for |
| Institutional Investors |
| ☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy |
| ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies |
| ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights |
| ☐ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core |
| conventions |
| ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity |
| ☑ (J) Other international framework(s) |
| Specify: |
| Planetary Boundaries |
| IRIS |
| ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s) |
| ☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s) |
| o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability |



outcomes connected to its investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.2 | CORE | PGS 47 | PGS 48 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

| ✓ (A) Id | lentify | sustainability | outcomes | that are | closely | / linked to | our co | re investment | activities |
|----------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|------------|
|----------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|------------|

- ☑ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- \square (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- \square (G) Other method
- o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 48 | CORE | PGS 47.2 | PGS 48.1, SO 1 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 48.1 | PLUS | PGS 48 | N/A | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☑ (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons

- \Box (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- \square (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries



 $[\]square$ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

 $[\]square$ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character

| sustainability out ☐ (E) We want to ☐ ☐ (F) We want to ☐ | protect our reputation, penhance our social licer that taking action on | particularly in the even nce-to-operate (i.e. t | ent of negative su he trust of benefic | stainability outco ciaries, clients, a | omes connected to and other stakehold | investments ers) |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------|
| | _ | | | | | |
| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
| PGS 49 | PLUS | PGS 47 | PGS 49.1 | PUBLIC | Human rights | 1, 2 |
| | ng year, what steps di s for people connecte | | | and take action | n on the actual ar | nd potentially |
| connect our orga | ed the human rights c nisation to negative h ese activities were cond | uman rights outco | | ing investments | and projected he | ow this could |
| Through | our customer satisfaction | surveys and collabora | tive engagement or | n human rights | | |
| ☐ (C) We consulted stakeholders such ☐ (D) We took oth investment activities ○ (E) We did not in | d whether individuals and good with individuals and good as human rights experier steps to assess and es dentify and take action es during the reporting years. | groups who were at its manage the actual a | risk or already aff | ected, their repre | esentatives and/or for people connec | ted to our |
| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
| PGS 49.1 | PLUS | PGS 49 | N/A | PUBLIC | Human rights | 1, 2 |
| | ng year, which stakeh entially negative outc | | _ | | | king action on |
| ☐ (1) Energy (2) Mater ☐ (3) Indus ☐ (4) Cons ☐ (5) Cons ☐ (6) Healt ☐ (7) Finan ☐ (8) Inforr | and end-users nich each stakeholder g y rials strials umer discretionary umer staples hcare uce nation technology munication services ies | group was included | | | | |



 \square (D) Other stakeholder groups

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| PGS 49.2 | PLUS | PGS 47 | N/A | PUBLIC | Human rights | 1, 2 |

| During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities? |
|--|
| ☑ (A) Corporate disclosures Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources: |
| We use corporate disclosures as clues and one source of information among others. We always chanllenge the corporate disclosures with complementary sources or meetings with the management |
| □ (B) Media reports □ (C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources: |
| NGOs are as close as possible to local issues |
| □ (D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank ☑ (E) Data provider scores or benchmarks Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources: |
| Benchmark on customer satisfaction, from external providers as well as internal dataset |
| □ (F) Human rights violation alerts ☑ (G) Sell-side research Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources: □ (H) Investor networks or other investors □ (I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives ☑ (J) Social media analysis Specify: |
| Throught specific partnerships to scrap social media and analyse it |

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

☐ (K) Other

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| PGS 50 | PLUS | PGS 47 | N/A | PUBLIC | Human rights | 1, 2 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

☐ (A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities



- \Box (B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities
- (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year Explain why:

Too small

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| LE 1 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

| | (2) Active - quantitative | (3) Active - fundamental | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors | (1) for all of our AUM | (2) for a majority of our AUM | |
| (B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors | (1) for all of our AUM | (2) for a majority of our AUM | |
| (C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period | (2) for a majority of our AUM | (2) for a majority of our AUM | |
| (D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion | 0 | 0 | |



MONITORING ESG TRENDS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| LE 2 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Monitoring ESG trends | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

| | (2) Active - quantitative | (3) Active - fundamental |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| (A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses | | |
| (B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses | (1) for all of our AUM | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion | 0 | 0 |
| (D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies | 0 | 0 |



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| LE 3 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

| (1) Active - quantitative | | (2) Active - fundamental |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| (A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process | | (1) in all cases |
| (B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process | | (1) in all cases |
| (C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process | | (3) in a minority of cases |
| (D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes | • | 0 |



| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| LE 4 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

| | (2) Active - quantitative | (3) Active - fundamental |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| (A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors | (1) in all cases | (2) in a majority of cases |
| (B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors | (1) in all cases | (2) in a majority of cases |
| (C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability | (1) in all cases | (1) in all cases |
| (D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors | | |



(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

0 0

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| LE 5 | PLUS | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in portfolio construction | 1 |

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

First on the social side. Having customer satisfaction as our first investment criteria, this social criteria is the basis of our investment thesis. The impact of any strategic changes and actions on the customers are analysed and taken into accound into our investment decision. Second, on the environmental side. Our attention to carbon emissions and net zero are also part of our investment decisions since we took the commitment to have 100% companies with validated trajectories plans by 2025.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| LE 6 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in portfolio construction | 1 |

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?



| | (2) Active - quantitative | (3) Active - fundamental |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | (1) for all of our AUM | (2) for a majority of our AUM |
| (B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | | |
| (C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | | |
| (D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | | |
| (E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors | 0 | 0 |



POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| LE 9 | CORE | OO 17.1 LE, OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☑ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- \Box (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- \Box (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- o (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| LE 10 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?



| | (1) Active - quantitative | (2) Active - fundamental |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| (A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings | ✓ | Ø |
| (B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents | | |
| (C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities | | |
| (D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents | ✓ | ✓ |
| (E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion. | 0 | 0 |



ESG incidents at their discretion

0 0

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| LE 11 | PLUS | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Performance monitoring | 1 |

Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

According to some academic studies, Customer Satisfaction is the missing link between financial and extra-financial performance. For that reason we have constructed all our equity selection upon this factor.

Moreover, many academic studies show a strong link between customer satisfaction and financial performance. Then the incorporation of such a criteria directly affect the return of the companies.

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| LE 12 | CORE | 00 17 LE, 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Disclosure of ESG screens | 6 |

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☑ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens



FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| FI 1 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

| | (2) Corporate |
|---|------------------------|
| (A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons | |
| (D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion | 0 |
| (E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors | 0 |



MONITORING ESG TRENDS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| FI 2 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Monitoring ESG trends | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?

| (2) Corporate | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| (A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses | | | | | |
| (B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses | (1) for all of our AUM | | | | |
| (C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion | 0 | | | | |
| (D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our fixed income assets | 0 | | | | |



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Fl 3 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

| | (2) Corporate |
|---|---------------|
| (A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors | |
| (B) We incorporate material governance-related factors | |
| (C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments | 0 |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| FI 4 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?



(2) Corporate

(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)

(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector

(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector

(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers

0

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| FI 6 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(2) Corporate

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments

(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve

(1) for all of our AUM



ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| FI 8 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in portfolio construction | 1 |

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

| | (2) Corporate |
|---|------------------------|
| (A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | |
| (C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process | |



- (E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways
- (F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

0

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| FI 11 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

(2) Corporate

| | (2) Corporate |
|---|------------------------|
| (A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors | |



(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

0

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| FI 12 | CORE | 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

| | (2) Corporate |
|---|---------------|
| (A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings | |
| (B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents | |



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on $\sqrt{}$ material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities (D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative \checkmark information on severe ESG incidents (E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our 0 investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion (F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate 0 ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| FI 14 | PLUS | OO 5.3 FI, OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Performance monitoring | 1 |

Provide an example of how the incorporation of environmental and/or social factors in your fixed income valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

According to some academic studies, Customer Satisfaction is the missing link between financial and extra-financial performance. For that reason we have constructed all our investment selection upon this factor.

Moreover, many academic studies show a strong link between customer satisfaction and credit risk. Then the incorporation of such a criteria directly affect the return of the companies.



THEMATIC BONDS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| FI 16 | CORE | 00 17 FI, 00 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Thematic bonds | 1 |

What pre-determined criteria does your organisation use to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

- \square (A) The bond's use of proceeds
- \square (B) The issuers' targets
- \square (C) The issuers' progress towards achieving their targets
- \square (D) The issuer profile and how it contributes to their targets
- o (E) We do not use pre-determined criteria to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in
- (F) Not applicable; we do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| Fl 17 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Thematic bonds | 1, 2, 6 |

During the reporting year, what action did you take in the majority of cases when you felt that the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated appropriately or in accordance with the terms of the bond deal or prospectus?

- ☑ (A) We engaged with the issuer
- \square (B) We alerted thematic bond certification agencies
- \square (C) We sold the security
- \square (D) We blacklisted the issuer
- \square (E) Other action
- (F) We did not take any specific actions when the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year
- o (G) Not applicable; in the majority of cases, the proceeds of thematic bonds were allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year



DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| FI 18 | CORE | OO 17 FI, OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Disclosure of ESG screens | 6 |

For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- **☑** (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| SO 1 | PLUS | PGS 48 | SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3 | PUBLIC | Setting targets on sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

٧

| What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on? |
|--|
| ☑ (A) Sustainability outcome #1 |
| (1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome |
| ☑ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets |
| ☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement |
| \square (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) |
| ☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct |
| for Institutional Investors |
| ☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy |
| \Box (6) Other relevant taxonomies |
| \square (7) The International Bill of Human Rights |
| \square (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight |
| core conventions |
| \square (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity |
| \square (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s) |
| (2) Classification of sustainability outcome |
| ☑ (1) Environmental |
| ☑ (2) Social |
| ☑ (3) Governance-related |



☐ (4) Other

| | (3) Sustainability outcome name | |
|----------|--|--|
| | SDGs | |
| (| (4) Number of targets set for this outcome (1) No target (2) One target (3) Two or more targets (3) Two or more targets (4) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors (5) The EU Taxonomy (6) Other relevant taxonomies (7) The International Bill of Human Rights (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s) (2) Classification of sustainability outcome (1) Environmental (2) Social (3) Governance-related (4) Other (3) Sustainability outcome name | |
| | Planetary Bounderies | |
| | (4) Number of targets set for this outcome (a) No target (b) (c) One target (c) (c) One targets (d) Two or more targets (e) (d) Two or more targets (e) Sustainability outcome #3 (f) Sustainability outcome #4 (f) Sustainability outcome #6 (f) Sustainability outcome #7 (f) Sustainability outcome #8 (f) Sustainability outcome #8 (f) Sustainability outcome #9 (f) Sustainability outcome #9 (f) Sustainability outcome #10 | |
| | | |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| SO 2 | PLUS | SO 1 | SO 2.1, SO 4, SO 5 | PUBLIC | Setting targets on sustainability outcomes | 1 |



For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your nearest-term targets.

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

| (A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: | SDGs |
|--|--|
| (1) Target name | IMP classification |
| (2) Baseline year | 2022 |
| (3) Target to be met by | 2023 |
| (4) Methodology | The Impact Management Project has defined a way to classify companies in terms of imapct. As investor we want to maximise the proportion of companies that "Contribute to Solutions" and minimize the companies that "May cause Harm". |
| (5) Metric used (if relevant) | % of "May cause Harm" (M) classified companies |
| (6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant) | (1) Absolute |
| (7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant): | |
| (8) Target level or amount (if relevant) | 0% |
| (9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting | 88% |
| (10) Do you also have a longer- term target for this? | (2) No |
| | (B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details |
| (B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
| (1) Target name | 90% Net Zero companies |
| (2) Baseline year | 2022 |
| | |



| (3) Target to be met by | 2023 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| (4) Methodology | Having in our SRI labelised portfolio 90% of companies committed to Net Zero in 2050. | | | | |
| (5) Metric used (if relevant) | % of companies committed to Net Zero in 2050. | | | | |
| (6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant) | (1) Absolute | | | | |
| (7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant): | | | | | |
| (8) Target level or amount (if relevant) | 90% | | | | |
| (9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting | 88% | | | | |
| (10) Do you also have a longer- term target for this? | (2) No | | | | |
| | (B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details | | | | |
| (B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies | | | | |
| (1) Target name | 100% SBTi approved strategies | | | | |
| (2) Baseline year | 2022 | | | | |
| (3) Target to be met by | 2025 | | | | |
| (4) Methodology | Percentage of companies having Net Zero trajectories approved by SBTis | | | | |
| (5) Metric used (if relevant) | Percentage of companies having Net Zero trajectories approved by SBTis | | | | |
| (6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant) | (1) Absolute | | | | |



(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):

(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)

(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting

(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?

FOCUS: SETTING NET-ZERO TARGETS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| SO 3 | PLUS | SO 1 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Focus: Setting net-zero targets | General |

If relevant to your organisation, you can opt-in to provide further details on your net-zero targets.

- ☑ (A) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (B) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's net-zero targets for high-emitting sectors
- \Box (C) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- o (D) No, we would not like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- o (E) No, our organisation does not have any asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| SO 3.1 | PLUS | SO 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | Focus: Setting net- zero targets | General |

Provide details of your nearest-term net-zero targets per asset class.

- (A) PRI asset class breakdown
- ☑ Listed equity



Target details

| (A) PRI asset class breakdown: Li | sted equity |
|--|---|
| (1) Baseline year | 2022 |
| (2) Target to be met by | 2025 |
| (3) Emissions included in target | (1) Scope 1 (2) Scope 2 (3) Scope 3 |
| (4) Methodology | Have only companies that have approved SBTi targets by 2025 |
| (5) Metric used | (9) Other |
| (6) Baseline amount | |
| (7) Current amount (if different from baseline amount) | |
| (8) Targeted reduction with respect to baseline | |
| (9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting | 88% |
| (10) If coverage is below 100% for this asset class, explain why | |
| ☑ Fixed income | |
| | Target details |
| (A) PRI asset class breakdown: Fi | xed income |
| (1) Baseline year | 2022 |
| (2) Target to be met by | 2025 |
| | |



| (3) Emissions included in target | (2) Scope 2 (3) Scope 3 |
|---|---|
| (4) Methodology | Have only companies that have approved SBTi targets by 2025 |
| (5) Metric used | (9) Other |
| (6) Baseline amount | |
| (7) Current amount (if different from baseline amount) | |
| (8) Targeted reduction with respect to baseline | |
| (9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting | 88% |
| (10) If coverage is below 100% for this asset class, explain why | |
| ☐ Private equity ☐ Real estate ☐ Infrastructure ☐ Hedge funds ☐ Forestry ☐ Farmland ☐ Other | |

(1) Scope 1

TRACKING PROGRESS AGAINST TARGETS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| SO 4 | PLUS | SO 2 | SO 4.1 | PUBLIC | Tracking progress against targets | 1 |

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcomes targets?



(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:

| (A1) Sustainability outcome #1: | SDGs | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Target name: | IMP classification | |
| Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets? | (1) Yes | |
| | (B1) Sustainability outcome #2: | |
| (B1) Sustainability outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies | |
| Target name: | 90% Net Zero companies | |
| Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets? | (1) Yes | |
| | (B2) Sustainability outcome #2: | |
| (B2) Sustainability outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies | |
| Target name: | 100% SBTi approved strategies | |
| Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets? | (1) Yes | |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| SO 4.1 | PLUS | SO 4 | N/A | PUBLIC | Tracking progress against targets | 1 |



During the reporting year, what qualitative or quantitative progress did your organisation achieve against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

| (A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: | SDGs |
|---|--|
| (1) Target name | IMP classification |
| (2) Target to be met by | 2023 |
| (3) Metric used (if relevant) | % of "May cause Harm" (M) classified companies |
| (4) Current level or amount (if relevant) | On average, 4% of "May cause harm" companies |
| (5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress | |
| (6) Methodology for tracking progress | tracking once a year |
| | (B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details |
| (B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
| (1) Target name | |
| | 90% Net Zero companies |
| (2) Target to be met by | 90% Net Zero companies 2023 |
| (2) Target to be met by (3) Metric used (if relevant) | |
| | 2023 |
| (3) Metric used (if relevant) (4) Current level or amount (if | 2023 % of companies committed to Net Zero in 2050. |
| (3) Metric used (if relevant)(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)(5) Other qualitative or quantitative | 2023 % of companies committed to Net Zero in 2050. |



(B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details

| (B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
|--|--|
| (1) Target name | 100% SBTi approved strategies |
| (2) Target to be met by | 2025 |
| (3) Metric used (if relevant) | Percentage of companies having Net Zero trajectories approved by SBTis |
| (4) Current level or amount (if relevant) | Not yet approved, too recent target, will have the first tracking by the end of the year |
| (5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress | |
| (6) Methodology for tracking progress | |

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

LEVERS USED TO TAKE ACTION ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| SO 5 | PLUS | SO 2 | Multiple | PUBLIC | Levers used to take action on sustainability outcomes | 1, 2, 5 |

During the reporting year, which of the following levers did your organisation use to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☑ (A) Stewardship with investees, including engagement, (proxy) voting, and direct influence with privately held assets Select from drop down list:
 - ☑ (1) Individually
 - ☑ (2) With other investors or stakeholders
- ☑ (B) Stewardship: engagement with external investment managers

Select from drop down list:

- ☑ (1) Individually
- ☑ (2) With other investors or stakeholders
- ☑ (C) Stewardship: engagement with policy makers



| Select from drop down list: |
|---|
| ☑ (1) Individually |
| (2) With other investors or stakeholders |
| ☑ (D) Stewardship: engagement with other key stakeholders |
| Select from drop down list: |
| \square (1) Individually |
| (2) With other investors or stakeholders |
| ☐ (E) Capital allocation |

o (F) Our organisation did not use any of the above levers to take action on sustainability outcomes during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP WITH INVESTEES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| SO 8 | PLUS | SO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship with investees | 2 |

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use stewardship with investees to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

| | (A) Across all sustainability outcomes |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| (1) Describe your approach | At shareholder meetings, we voted in favour of all resolutions or proposals that advanced our sustainability outcomes and voted against all those that undermined them, and we used the relationship we have with companies to engage the discussion and encourage them to take decisions on sustainability outcomes. |
| | (1) Engagement |
| (2) Stewardship tools or activities | (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings |
| used | (4) Nominating directors to the board (7) Working directly with portfolio companies and/or real asset management teams |
| (3) Example | |
| | (B) Sustainability Outcome #1: |
| (B) Sustainability Outcome #1: | SDGs |
| (1) Describe your approach | Direct contact with companies |



| (2) Stewardship tools or activities used | (1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings (4) Nominating directors to the board (7) Working directly with portfolio companies and/or real asset management teams |
|--|--|
| (3) Example | Share of best practices regarding customer satisfaction and customer strategy to be able to have a positive impact on their stakeholders. |
| | (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: |
| (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
| (1) Describe your approach | At shareholder meetings, we voted in favour of all resolutions or proposals that advanced our sustainability outcomes and voted against all those that undermined them, and we used the long lasting relationship we have with companies to engage the discussion and encourage them to take decisions on sustainability outcomes. |
| (2) Stewardship tools or activities used | (1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings (4) Nominating directors to the board (7) Working directly with portfolio companies and/or real asset management teams |
| (3) Example | Samsé: we discussed directly with the management to understand why they are not committed to Net Zero and we asked written questions during their AGM on that topic. Tractor Supply: discussion with the management on their Net Zero commitment and their targets. |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| SO 9 | PLUS | SO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship with investees | 2 |

How does your organisation prioritise the investees you conduct stewardship with to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (A) We prioritise the most strategically important companies in our portfolio.

Describe how you do this:

Select from the list:



o 3

0 4

 \square (B) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio most significantly connected to sustainability outcomes.



☑ (C) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio to ensure that we cover a certain proportion of the sustainability outcomes we are taking action on.

Describe how you do this:

Select from the list:

 \odot 1

o 3

0 4

☐ (D) Other

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| SO 11 | PLUS | SO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use engagement with policy makers to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes We use this kind on engagement trhought working groups specialized on topics on (1) Describe your approach which we have an expertise. The goal is to better understand the policies and their backgrounds and also to be able to make the voice of investors heard. (1) We participated in 'sign-on' letters (2) Engagement tools or activities (2) We responded to policy consultations used (3) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups For instance, we signed letter on Say on climate, we are a member of the ANC commission (french accountability trade union) to work on the integration of climate (3) Example(s) of policies engaged issues in the accounts and as part of the responsible investment committee of the AFG on (french association for asset managers) we also worked a lot on consultations on european regulation and SRI labels. (B) Sustainability Outcome #1: **SDGs** (B) Sustainability Outcome #1: (1) Describe your approach



| (2) Engagement tools or activities used | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| (3) Example(s) of policies engaged on | |
| | (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: |
| (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
| (1) Describe your approach | |
| (2) Engagement tools or activities used | |
| (3) Example(s) of policies engaged on | |

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH OTHER KEY STAKEHOLDERS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| SO 12 | PLUS | SO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with other key stakeholders | 2, 5 |

Does your organisation engage with other key stakeholders to support the development of financial products, services, research, and/or data aligned with global sustainability goals and thresholds?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

| (1) Key stakeholders engaged | (5) Auditors (6) External service providers (e.g. proxy advisers, investment consultants, data providers) (8) NGOs |
|---|---|
| (2) Provide further detail on your engagement | Discussions with auditors for them to take into account climate risks in the approval of accounts. We are also involved in discussions with NGOs on specific topics like Acces to Medicine Index, Know the Chain or Shareaction |



(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

| (B) Sustainability Outcome #1: | SDGs |
|---|---|
| (1) Key stakeholders engaged | (8) NGOs |
| (2) Provide further detail on your engagement | Collaboration with the IMP (Impact Management Project), presentation of the tool to the AGF (french asset managers association) |
| | (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: |
| (C) Sustainability Outcome #2: | Planetary Bounderies |
| (1) Key stakeholders engaged | |
| (2) Provide further detail on your engagement | |

STEWARDSHIP: COLLABORATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| SO 13 | PLUS | SO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Collaboration | 2 |

During the reporting year, to which collaborative initiatives did your organisation contribute to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Initiative #1

| (1) Name of the initiative | Claimte Action 100+ |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | (A) We were a lead investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies) |
| (2) Indicate how your organisation | (C) We publicly endorsed the initiative |
| contributed to this collaborative | (D) We provided pro bono advice, research or training |
| initiative | (H) We contributed to the development of the initiative's materials and/or resources |
| | (e.g. co-authored a report) |



(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative

| | (B) Initiative #2 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| (1) Name of the initiative | Carbon Disclosure Project | | | |
| (2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative | (B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies) (C) We publicly endorsed the initiative | | | |
| (3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative | | | | |
| | (C) Initiative #3 | | | |
| (1) Name of the initiative | ShareAction | | | |
| (2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative | (B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies) | | | |
| (3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative | | | | |
| | (D) Initiative #4 | | | |
| (1) Name of the initiative | Know the Chain | | | |
| (2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative | (B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies) | | | |
| (3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative | | | | |



CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| CBM 1 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Approach to confidence-building measures | 6 |

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- \Box (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☑ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- \Box (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- \Box (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL AUDIT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| CBM 4 | CORE | OO 21, CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal audit | 6 |

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

☑ (A) Policy, governance and strategy

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- (3) Processes and data internally audited

☑ (C) Listed equity

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- (3) Processes and data internally audited

☑ (D) Fixed income



Select from dropdown list:

- \circ (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- **⊚** (3) Processes and data internally audited

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| CBM 5 | PLUS | CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal audit | 6 |

Provide details of the internal audit process regarding the information submitted in your PRI report.

Data & processes audited by our internal control function before submitting the information

